Exhibit

7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

MAX MINDS, LLC,)
Plaintiff,))
V.) Case No.: 1:24-cv-00779-JPH-MG
TRIANGLE EXPERIENCE GROUP, INC., et al,))
Defendants.))

TRIANGLE EXPERIENCE GROUP INC.'S SECOND AMENDED ANSWERS AND OBJECTIONS TO MAX MINDS, LLC'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION DOCUMENTS

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court's scheduling orders related to Plaintiff Max Minds, LLC's ("Max") Motion for Preliminary Injunction (the "Motion"), the various agreements of counsel made in the meet and confer process, and the Parties' October 1, 2024 Stipulation regarding the definition of Software and Source Code with respect to Preliminary Injunction Discovery, Defendant Triangle Experience Group, Inc. ("TEG") provides the following second amended answers and objections to Max's first request for production of preliminary injunction Document Request Nos. 2, 5, 7 and 10 directed to TEG.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

1. Documents and ESI sufficient to show all copies of the Software in your possession.

RESPONSE:

During the meet and confer process and as confirmed in Max's counsel's letter dated August 1, 2024, Max has agreed to defer Request No. 1 and, therefore, TEG need not provide an answer to this request at this time. TEG reserves the right to raise any objections should Max seek to have documents responsive to this request produced at a later date.

2. Documents and ESI sufficient to show all copies of the Software in the possession of third-parties who obtained the Software from you.

Document 105-18

AMENDED RESPONSE:

Pursuant to the Order dated August 19, 2024 (Dkt. 74) and the Parties' October 1, 2024 Stipulation regarding the definition of Software and Source Code with respect to Preliminary Injunction Discovery, TEG hereby answers this request as amended, namely, "Documents and ESI sufficient to show all copies of the Software you distributed to, licensed, or gave to third parties."

TEG states that it will produce non-privileged documents and ESI within its possession, custody, or control that are responsive to this request by October 15, 2024.

3. Documents and ESI sufficient to show all users of Software.

RESPONSE:

TEG objects to this request because it is unclear what particular "users" Max is contemplating as relevant to this request. As such, this request is not proportional to the needs of the Court's determination of Max's Motion. Considering the lack of proportion to the preliminary injunction merits, the burden and expense to TEG of this proposed discovery outweighs any likely benefit in resolving issues relevant to Max's Motion.

During the meet and confer process and as confirmed in Max's counsel's letter dated August 1, 2024, Max has agreed to defer Request No. 3 and, therefore, TEG need not provide an answer to this request at this time. TEG reserves the right to raise any objections should Max seek to have documents responsive to this request produced at a later date.

4. Documents and ESI sufficient to show all Derivative Works of the Software and Source Code.

RESPONSE:

TEG objects to this request on the grounds that it is unclear what Max is seeking in terms of the creation of derivative works. As stated, this request could refer to modification of Max's defined Software or Source Code by any person employed or contracted by Max or any third party. TEG would have no such information within its possession, custody, or control.

During the meet and confer process and as confirmed in Max's counsel's letter dated August 1, 2024, Max has agreed to defer Request No. 4 and, therefore, TEG need not provide an answer to this request at this time. TEG reserves the right to raise any objections should Max seek to have documents responsive to this request produced at a later date.

5. Documents and ESI sufficient to show all demonstrations you gave of the Software including all payments you received for the demonstrations.

AMENDED RESPONSE:

During the meet and confer process, TEG agreed to answer this Request No. 5 as amended in Max's counsel's letter dated July 27, 2024, namely: "Documents and ESI sufficient to show all demonstrations of the Software that have occurred since this case was filed or that are planned or scheduled for the future, including all payments you received for those demonstrations."

TEG objects to this amended request as the phrase "all demonstrations of the Software that have occurred since this case was filed or that are planned or scheduled for the future" is overly broad and vague. This request essentially seeks all business development/marketing activity related documents performed or planned since this case was filed, which, even with the "sufficient to show" limitation, would be difficult to collect and produce. Subject to the foregoing objections,

TEG states that it will produce non-privileged documents and ESI within its possession, custody, or control that are responsive to this request by October 15, 2024. TEG reserves the right to supplement this request as discovery is ongoing.

6. Documents and ESI sufficient to show all Source Code in your possession. RESPONSE:

During the meet and confer process and as confirmed in Max's counsel's letter dated August 1, 2024, Max has agreed to defer Request No. 6 and, therefore, TEG need not provide an answer to this request at this time. TEG reserves the right to raise any objections should Max seek to have documents responsive to this request produced at a later date.

7. Documents and ESI sufficient to show all communications among developers of Derivative Works of the Source Code.

AMENDED RESPONSE:

Pursuant to the Order dated August 19, 2024 (Dkt. 74), TEG hereby answers this request as amended, namely, "Documents and ESI sufficient to show all communications among Developers of Derivative Works of the Source Code that have occurred since this case was filed." Pursuant to the Order, the definition of "Developers" for purposes of this request is the TEG definition agreed to by the parties during the August 8, 2024 discovery conference (Dkt. 72), namely, any person who "authored, edited, revised, developed, coded, contributed to, or otherwise worked on" software.

TEG objects to this amended request as the definition of "Developers" is still overly broad and vague. Subject to the foregoing objections, and applying the Parties' October 1, 2024

Stipulation regarding the definition of Software and Source Code with respect to Preliminary

Injunction Discovery, TEG states that it will produce non-privileged documents and ESI within its

possession, custody, or control that are responsive to this request by October 15, 2024. TEG reserves the right to supplement this request as discovery is ongoing.

8. Documents and ESI you contend demonstrate your ownership of the Software or **Source Code.**

RESPONSE:

During the meet and confer process, TEG agreed to answer this Request No. 8 as amended in Max's counsel's letter dated July 27, 2024, namely: "Documents and ESI other than the JVA that you contend demonstrate your ownership of the Software or Source Code."

TEG will produce non-privileged documents and ESI responsive to this request that are within its possession, custody, or control.

9. All recordings of telephone and video calls between the parties in which any of the following was discussed: the Software, the Source Code, Ownership of Intellectual Property, Derivative Works, the JVA, the SCLA, the MNDA, the PP, or the CA.

RESPONSE:

During the meet and confer process, TEG agreed to answer this Request No. 9 as amended in Max's counsel's letter dated July 27, 2024, namely: "All recordings of telephone and video calls between the parties that defendants intend to rely upon in defense of the motion for preliminary injunction."

TEG will produce non-privileged documents and ESI responsive to this request that are within its possession, custody, or control.

10. Documents and ESI sufficient to show your agreements with third-parties authorizing those third-parties to distribute and sell licenses for the Software.

RESPONSE:

Pursuant to the Order dated August 19, 2024 (Dkt. 74), TEG hereby answers this request as amended, namely, "Documents and ESI sufficient to show your agreements with third parties authorizing those third parties to distribute and sell licenses for the Software."

TEG will produce non-privileged documents and ESI responsive to this request that are within its possession, custody, or control.

11. Documents and ESI sufficient to identify each third-party you have authorized to distribute and sell licenses for the Software.

RESPONSE:

Pursuant to the Order dated August 19, 2024 (Dkt. 74), this request is redundant and TEG need not provide an answer to this request.

Dated: October 15, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

s/ Samuel J. Banks

Richard D. Kelley, admitted pro hac vice Raighne C. Delaney, admitted pro hac vice Stephen D. Caruso, admitted pro hac vice Samuel J. Banks, admitted pro hac vice Kandis M. Koustenis, admitted pro hac vice BEAN, KINNEY & KORMAN, PC

2311 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 500

Arlington, VA 22201 Tel: (703) 525-4000

Fax: (703) 525-2207 rkelley@beankinney.com rdelaney@beankinney.com scaruso@beankinney.com

sbanks@beankinney.com kkoustenis@beankinney.com

Heather J. Kliebenstein, admitted pro hac vice

MERCHANT & GOULD

150 South Fifth Street, Suite 2200

Minneapolis, MN 55402

Tel: (612) 332-5300 Fax: (612) 332-9081

hkliebenstein@merchantgould.com

Alexander P. Orlowski, Atty. No. 30794-29 Amanda Jane Gallagher, Atty. No. 32662-79

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

11 South Meridian Street Indianapolis, IN 46204

Tel: (317) 566-1110 Fax: (317) 636-1507

alexander.orlowski@btlaw.com amanda.gallagher@btlaw.com

Attorneys for Triangle Experience Group, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

#: 1197

Document 105-18

The undersigned hereby certifies that on October 15, 2024, the foregoing document was served on the following via electronic mail.

J. Campbell Miller campbell.miller@sriplaw.com SRIPLAW, P. A. 231 South Rangeline Road Suite H Carmel, IN 46032 332.600.5599 - Telephone 561.404.4353 - Facsimile

Joseph A. Dunne joseph.dunne@sriplaw.com SRIPLAW, P. A. 175 Pearl Street Third Floor Brooklyn, IN 11201 929.200.8446 - Telephone 561.404.4353 - Facsimile

Joel B. Rothman joel.rothman@sriplaw.com SRIPLAW, P. A. 21301 Powerline Road Suite 100 Boca Raton, IN 33433 561.404.4335 - Telephone 561.404.4353 - Facsimile

Philip D Sever phil@landownerattorneys.com SEVER, STORY, WALKER 742 South Rangeline Road Carmel, IN 46032 317.961.1202 - Telephone

Counsel for Plaintiff Max Minds, LLC

/s/ Samuel J. Banks Samuel J. Banks